Tetrahedron Letters No. 23, pp 2049 - 2052, 1977. Pergamon Press. Printed in Great Britain.

RATES OF ADDITION AND DEPROTONATION IN REACTIONS OF FERROCENYLALKYLIUM IONS WITH NUCLEOPHILES

Clifford A. Bunton Department of Chemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, U.S.A. and William E. Watts School of Physical Sciences, New University of Ulster, Coleraine, Northern Ireland, U.K.

(Received in UK 15 April 1977; accepted for publication 25 April 1977)

Although nucleophilic addition to isolated carbocations can be studied using triarylmethyl and tropylium cations,¹ no such system is available for deprotonation reactions. However, a range of ferrocenylalkylium ions $FccR^1R^2$ ($R^1, R^2 = H$, alkyl, aryl, and Fc) can be obtained as isolable salts and we recently reported² results for addition of water to non-deprotonatable systems. We now describe kinetics of addition and deprotonation.

 $Fc \xrightarrow{Ph}_{CH_2R} k_{f}^{A}$ $Fc \xrightarrow{Ph}_{CH_2R} k_{r}^{A}$ $Fc \xrightarrow{Ph}_{CH_2R} k_{r}^{A}$ $Fc \xrightarrow{Ph}_{CH_2R} k_{f}^{D}$ $Fc \xrightarrow{Ph}_{CH_2R} k_{f}^{D}$ $Fc \xrightarrow{Ph}_{CH_2R} Fc \xrightarrow{Ph}_{CH_R}$ $Fc \xrightarrow{Ph}_{CH_R} Fc \xrightarrow{Ph}_{CH_R} fc$ $Fc \xrightarrow{Ph}_{CH_R} fc$

We could not use the methyl-substituted cation (2a) because, during its preparation by acid heterolysis of the alcohol (la; X = OH) and probably also during solvolysis, deprotonation gave the highly nucleophilic alkene (3a) which rapidly captured (2a) generating the much less reactive "dimeric" cation (2b).^{*} However, there is no coupling for systems incorporating a β -substituent (2; R \neq H) and we have studied reactions of the neopentyl- (2c), benzyl- (2d), and tritylmethyl- (2e) substituted cations. Their (BF₄⁻) salts were obtained by reactions of the alcohols (lc and ld; X = OH) in acetic anhydride with aq. HBF₄ and by addition of (Ph₃C⁺)(BF₄⁻) to the alkene (3a).

Addition of $(2c)(BF_4)$ to $H_2O:MeCN$ (1:1 w/w) led to first-order disappearance of the cation and formation of a mixture of the alcohol (lc; X = OH) and a single stereoisomer of the alkene (3c). The alkene/alcohol ratio was determined

^{*} Some pK_{R}^{+} values determined earlier for FcCRMe may be in error because this cation + alkene coupling was neglected.

both spectroscopically (u.v.) and by product isolation $(Al_2O_3 \text{ chromatography})$. Independent experiments showed that the HBF₄ formed in low concentration during solvolysis does not interconvert the products. The rate constants for addition (k_f^A) and deprotonation (k_f^D) were calculated from the observed first-order rate constant for cation disappearance (irreversible product formation) and the kinetic product ratio k_f^D/k_f^A . Similar kinetic and product-ratio studies were carried out for reactions of the same salt with D₂O:MeCN and with MeOH, of (2d) (BF_4^-) with H₂O:MeCN, and of (2e) (BF_4^-) with H₂O:MeCN and with MeOH; the rate constants are in Table 1. In all reactions of the tritylmethyl-substituted cation (2e), a single stereoisomer of the alkene (3e) was the sole product. Reaction of the benzyl analogue (2d) gave the alcohol (1d; X = OH) and both stereoisomers of the alkene (3d), one largely predominating.

Reactions of (2c) (BF_4^-) and (2e) (BF_4^-) with hydroxide (in $H_2O:MeCN$) and methoxide ion (in MeOH) were also followed and the deprotonation/addition product ratios were determined as before; again, (2e) gave only deprotonation. The rate constants for deprotonation and addition were corrected for the contribution of reaction with solvent. Selected values are: for cation (2c) with O.1OM NaOH in $H_2O:MeCN k_f^D = 2.3 M^{-1}s^{-1}$ and $k_f^A = 0.17 M^{-1}s^{-1}$ and with 0.003M NaOMe in MeOH $k_f^D =$ 78 $M^{-1}s^{-1}$ and $k_f^A = 92 M^{-1}s^{-1}$; for cation (2e) $k_f^D = 0.82$ and 18.2 $M^{-1}s^{-1}$ with 0.1OM NaOH in $H_2O:MeCN$ and 0.003M NaOMe in MeOH respectively.

Reactions of the alcohols (lc and ld; X = OH) and the related alkenes (3c and 3d) in aqueous acid were also investigated. Addition of (lc; X = OH) to $H_2SO_4:H_2O:MeCN$ gave relatively fast formation of the cation (2c) which slowly underwent deprotonation. In 0.1-1.0M H_2SO_4 , heterolysis of the alcohol was essentially complete before the cation was significantly deprotonated. Thus, since $k_f^D \ll k_r^A[H^+] \gg k_f^A$, the observed first-order rate constant for cation build-up gives $k_r^A[H^+]$ for a particular acid concentration. These values are in Table 2. Solutions of (ld; X = OH) in $H_2SO_4:H_2O:MeCN$ showed different kinetic behaviour. For acid strengths in the range 0.1-0.5M, deprotonation of the cation (2d) was much faster than its production by heterolysis of the alcohol. In 0.1M acid, $k_f^D \gg k_r^A[H^+]$ and (2d) does not build up during the reaction. Under these conditions, the observed first-order rate constant for formation of the alkene (3d) from the alcohol (ld; X = OH) is $k_f^D k_r^A[H^+]/(k_f^D + k_f^A)$. Assuming that the k_f^D/k_f^A kinetic product ratio, determined earlier for the collapse of (2d) (BF₄⁻) in $H_2O:MeCN$, is unaffected by $O.1M H_2SO_4, k_r^A[H^+]$ can thereby be calculated (Table 2).

Addition of either the salt (2c) (BF₄) or the alkene (3c) to H₂O:MeCN containing H₂SO₄ (\geqslant O.5M) gave equilibrium mixtures of (2c) and (3c) whose compositions were determined spectroscopically. Since $k_r^A[H^+] \gg k_f^A$ at these acid strengths, there is very little alcohol (lc; X = OH) in these mixtures. From the $[R^+]_{eq}$ /[ene]_{eq} values (e.g. 0.12 in 0.50M H₂SO₄ and 7.0 in 2.0M H₂SO₄) and the observed first-order rate constants (k_{ψ}) for attainment of equilibrium, the k_f^D and $k_r^D[H^+]$ values for given acid concentrations were calculated (Table 2) from the expression:

Cation	Solvent ^b	Nucleophile	k_f^D/k_f^A	k_f^D , s ⁻¹	k_{f}^{A} , s ⁻¹
(2c)	H ₂ O:MeCN	н ₂ 0	5.67	7.3×10^{-4}	1.3×10^{-4}
	D ₂ O:MeCN	D ₂ O	5.25	5.6 x 10^{-4}	1.1×10^{-4}
	МеОН	МеОН	>20	1.7×10^{-2}	$< 9 \times 10^{-4}$
(2d)	H ₂ O:MeCN	н ₂ о	0 .5 0	0.13	0.26
(2e)	H ₂ O:MeCN	н ₂ 0	∞	8.1 x 10 ⁻⁴	~ 0
	MeOH	MeOH	œ	6.1 x 10 ⁻³	~ 0

Table 1. Rate Constants for Deprotonation and Addition Reactions^a

Table 2	2.	Rate	Constants	for	Cation	Formation	in	H_SO	:H_O:MeCNa/	, D
---------	----	------	-----------	-----	--------	-----------	----	------	-------------	-----

Cation	[^н 2 ^{so} 4], м	$k_{r}^{A}[H^{+}], s^{-1}$	$k_{r}^{D}[H^{+}], s^{-1}$	k_{f}^{D} , s ⁻¹
(2c)	0	-	-	7.3×10^{-4}
	0.10	2.0×10^{-2}	_	6.8×10^{-4}
	0.25	4.8×10^{-2}	-	5.3 x 10^{-4}
	0.50	0.12	5.3 x 10 ⁻⁵	4.3×10^{-4}
	1.00	-	1.5×10^{-4}	3.1×10^{-4}
(2d)	о	-	-	0.13
	0.10	9.6 x 10^{-3}	с	-
	1.00	-	с	-

^a Measured at 25.0^oC using a Gilford spectrophotometer. ^b $H_2O:MeCN$ (1:1 w/w); $D_2O:MeCN$ (1.1:1 w/w). ^c No alkene protonation detected.

 $k_f^D = k_{\psi} - k_r^D[H^+] = k_{\psi}/(1 + [R^+]_{eq}/[ene]_{eq})$. The alkene (3d), on the other hand, was extremely resistant to protonation except in highly acidic media. In 4.0M H_2SO_4 in $H_2O:MeCN$, first-order conversion ($k_{\psi} = 9.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} = k_f^D + k_r^D[H^+]$) to an equilibrium mixture of (2d) and (3d) occurred. However, the equilibrium composition could not be estimated accurately and k_{ij} was not separated into forward and reverse rate constants.

The following conclusions can be drawn. The rate constant for addition of water to the benzyl-substituted cation (2d) is similar to those found earlier 2 for similar reactions of non-deprotonatable ferrocenylalkylium ions (e.g. for

FcCPhCMe₃, $k_f^A = 0.58 \text{ s}^{-1}$). The cation (2c), however, adds water (in H₂O:MeCN) at a rate ca. 2000 times smaller than that for the benzyl analogue and addition to the cation (2e) is completely suppressed. Because nucleophiles add preferentially from the <u>exo</u>-side of the fulvene ligand of ferrocenylalkylium ions,³ probably via an early carbocation-like transition state,² the unreactivity of (2c) and (2e) must reflect steric hindrance to nucleophilic addition by the bulky β -t-butyl and -trityl groups. Additions to ferrocenylvinyl cations (FcC=CHCMe₃) are similarly retarded by the β -t-butyl group.⁴ The rate constants for addition of methanol, hydroxide, and methoxide ion to (2c) suggest that these reactions are also sterically retarded. The kinetic solvent isotope effect for addition of H₂O(D₂O) to (2c) ($k_f^{2O}/k_f^{D2O} = 1.2$) is similar to those for non-deprotonatable carbocations (e.g. tri-p-anisylmethyl cation⁵ and ferrocenylalkylium ions²).

The cations (2c) and (2e) eliminate to the corresponding alkenes very much more slowly than the benzyl-substituted cation (2d) where the β -phenyl group is conjugated with the developing double bond. Steric effects also appear to be important in these reactions as suggested by the kinetically-controlled formation of a single alkene stereoisomer on deprotonation of (2c) and (2e); elimination from (2d) gives predominantly (>90%) one alkene stereoisomer. We are investigating this aspect further but, assuming that deprotonation as well as addition is from the <u>exo</u>-direction, consideration of the ground-state conformation of the system suggests that cations of the type (2) should give preferentially the alkene stereoisomer in which the Fc and R groups are <u>trans</u>. Proton transfer from (2c) to D₂O is slightly slower than to H₂O (k^H_f2^O/k^D_f2^O = 1.3) and, as expected, deprotonation of (2c) in H₂SO₄:H₂O:MeCN is retarded as the acidity increases; deprotonation is also slowed in the presence of salts (e.g. NaClO₄, NaOTs).

The ferrocenylalkylium system allows for the first time a comparison of nucleophilic addition and elimination reactions of pre-formed carbocations rather than of cations or, more probably, ion pairs formed in S_N reactions.

<u>Acknowledgements</u>. Support of this work by the National Science Foundation is gratefully acknowledged. W.E.W. thanks the Leverhulme Trust for the award of a research fellowship.

References

- See C. D. Ritchie, <u>Accounts Chem. Research</u>, <u>5</u>, 348 (1972); <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>97</u>, 1170 (1975); C. A. Bunton and S. K. Huang, <u>1bid.</u>, <u>94</u>, 3536 (1972) and <u>96</u>, 515 (1974).
- C. A. Bunton, N. Carrasco, and W. E. Watts, <u>J. Organometal. Chem.</u>, <u>131/132</u>, C21 (1977).
- 3. See T. D. Turbitt and W. E. Watts, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin II, 177 (1974).
- 4. T. S. Abram and W. E. Watts, J. Organometal. Chem., <u>87</u>, C39 (1975).
- 5. E. A. Hill and W. J. Mueller, Tetrahedron Letters, 2564 (1968).